

# KARUK TRIBE

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
P.O. Box 282 \* Orleans, California 95556




2024

## Annual Monitoring Report



**KLAMATH RIVER, SALMON RIVER, SCOTT  
RIVER, AND SHASTA RIVER**



# Karuk Tribe

Annual Monitoring Report  
2024

Prepared by  
Karuk Tribe Water Quality Program  
December 2024

## Technical Staff

Grant Johnson – Water Quality Program Manager  
John R. Oberholzer Dent – Biologist  
Larry Alameda – Water Quality Technician III  
Manuel Mendes – Water Quality Technician II  
Rex Harrison – Water Quality Technician I

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Background.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Program Purpose.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Collaboration and Coordination.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Karuk Water Quality Program Design .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Data Interpretation and Management.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>2024 Water Quality Results .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Main Stem Klamath.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6.1.1</b>	<b>Main Stem Temperature .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6.1.2</b>	<b>Main Stem Dissolved Oxygen .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>6.1.3</b>	<b>Main Stem pH.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Tributaries.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>6.2.1</b>	<b>Tributary Temperature .....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>6.2.2</b>	<b>Tributary Dissolved Oxygen .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>6.2.3</b>	<b>Tributary pH.....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>6.2.4</b>	<b>Salmon River Turbidity.....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Nutrients .....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>References .....</b>	<b>29</b>

## List of Figures

<b>Figure 1</b> Overview of the Karuk Tribe’s water quality monitoring locations along the Klamath River in 2024.....	<b>4</b>
<b>Figure 2</b> Daily average water temperatures in 2024 at three main stem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), Seiad Valley (SV), and Orleans (OR) .....	<b>7</b>
<b>Figure 3</b> Aggregated daily average water temperatures from 2010-2024 at main stem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), Seiad Valley (SV), and Orleans (OR) .....	<b>8</b>
<b>Figure 4</b> Daily average dissolved oxygen levels in 2024 at mainstem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), Seiad Valley (SV), and Orleans (OR) .....	<b>9</b>
<b>Figure 5</b> Dissolved oxygen saturation readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in 2024 at the Klamath River at Orleans (OR) and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan Klamath River site-specific dissolved oxygen water quality objective: > 90% saturation year-round from the Scott River confluence to Hoopa .....	<b>9</b>
<b>Figure 6</b> Dissolved oxygen saturation readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in 2024 at the Klamath River at Seiad Valley (SV) and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan Klamath River site-specific dissolved oxygen water quality objective: > 90% saturation year-round from the Scott River confluence to Hoopa .....	<b>10</b>
<b>Figure 7</b> Dissolved oxygen saturation readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in 2024 at the Klamath River below Iron Gate dam (IG) and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan Klamath River site-specific dissolved oxygen water quality objective: > 90% saturation Oct 1 – March 30 and > 85% saturation April 1 – Sept 30 from the OR/CA state line to the mouth of the Scott River.....	<b>10</b>
<b>Figure 8</b> Aggregated daily average dissolved oxygen levels from 2010-2024 at main stem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), Seiad Valley (SV), and Orleans (OR).....	<b>11</b>
<b>Figure 9</b> Daily average pH levels in 2024 at main stem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), Seiad Valley (SV), and Orleans (OR).....	<b>12</b>
<b>Figure 10</b> pH readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes water year 2024 at the Klamath River at Orleans (OR) and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan objective for the Klamath River: $7 < x < 8.5$ .....	<b>12</b>
<b>Figure 11</b> pH readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in water year 2024 at the Klamath River at Seiad Valley (SV) and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan objective for the Klamath River: $7 < x < 8.5$ .....	<b>13</b>

<b>Figure 12</b> pH readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in water year 2024 at the Klamath River below Iron Gate dam (IG) and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan objective for the Klamath River: $7 < x < 8.5$ .....	<b>13</b>
<b>Figure 13</b> Aggregated daily average pH levels from 2010-2024 at main stem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), Seiad Valley (SV), and Orleans (OR) .....	<b>14</b>
<b>Figure 14</b> Daily average water temperature in 2024 at Shasta (SH), Scott (SC), and Salmon (SA) Rivers .....	<b>15</b>
<b>Figure 15</b> Daily average water temperatures from 2018-2024 at the Shasta River .....	<b>15</b>
<b>Figure 16</b> Daily average water temperatures from 2018-2024 at the Scott River .....	<b>16</b>
<b>Figure 17</b> Daily average water temperatures from 2018-2024 for the Salmon River .....	<b>16</b>
<b>Figure 18</b> Daily average dissolved oxygen levels in 2024 at Shasta (SH), Scott (SC), and Salmon (SA) Rivers.....	<b>17</b>
<b>Figure 19</b> Daily average dissolved oxygen levels from 2018-2024 at the Shasta River .....	<b>18</b>
<b>Figure 20</b> Dissolved oxygen readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in 2024 at the Shasta River and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan site-specific water quality objective for the Shasta River, $> 7$ mg/L.....	<b>18</b>
<b>Figure 21</b> Daily average dissolved oxygen levels from 2018-2024 at the Scott River .....	<b>19</b>
<b>Figure 22</b> Dissolved oxygen readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in 2024 at the Scott River and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan site-specific water quality objective for the Scott River, $> 7$ mg/L.....	<b>19</b>
<b>Figure 23</b> Daily average dissolved oxygen levels from 2018-2024 at the Salmon River .....	<b>20</b>
<b>Figure 24</b> Dissolved oxygen readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in water year 2024 at the Salmon River and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan site-specific water quality objective for the Salmon River, $> 9$ mg/L .....	<b>20</b>
<b>Figure 25</b> Daily average pH levels in 2024 at Shasta (SH), Scott (SC), and Salmon (SA) Rivers .....	<b>21</b>
<b>Figure 26</b> Daily average pH levels from 2018-2024 at the Shasta River .....	<b>22</b>
<b>Figure 27</b> pH readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in 2024 at the Shasta River and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan site-specific water quality objective for the Shasta River, $7 < x < 8.5$ .....	<b>22</b>
<b>Figure 28</b> Daily average pH levels from 2018-2024 at the Scott River.....	<b>23</b>

**Figure 29** pH readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in 2024 at the Scott River and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan site-specific water quality objective for the Scott River,  $7 < x < 8.5$ ..... 23

**Figure 30** Daily average pH from 2018-2024 at the Salmon River ..... 24

**Figure 31** pH readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in water year 2024 at the Salmon River and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan site-specific water quality objective for the Salmon River,  $7 < x < 8.5$ ..... 24

**Figure 32** Daily average turbidity from 2020-2024 at the Salmon River ..... 25

**Figure 33** Total phosphorus measurements in 2024 at all monitored sites ..... 26

**Figure 34** Total phosphorus measurements from 2007-2024 at the Salmon (SA), Scott (SC), and Shasta (SH) Rivers ..... 26

**Figure 35** Total phosphorus measurements from 2007-2024 at main stem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), at Walker Bridge (WA), at Seiad Valley (SV), at Happy Camp (HC), and at Orleans (OR)..... 27

**Figure 36** Total nitrogen measurements in 2024 at all monitored sites ..... 27

**Figure 37** Total nitrogen measurements from 2007-2024 at the Salmon (SA), Scott (SC), and Shasta (SH) Rivers ..... 28

**Figure 38** Total nitrogen measurements from 2007-2024 at main stem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), at Walker Bridge (WA), at Seiad Valley (SV), at Happy Camp (HC), and at Orleans (OR)..... 28

## List of Tables

<b>Table 1</b> Atlas of Tribal Waters within Ancestral Territory .....	<b>1</b>
<b>Table 2</b> Designated uses, tribal goals and parameters measured to analyze impairments to tribal uses and goals .....	<b>2</b>
<b>Table 3</b> Site codes and locations of Karuk sampling stations for nutrients, algal toxins, and sondes. Nutrient Suite indicates collecting nutrients, algal toxins, and phytoplankton. Sonde indicates real time monitoring, and public health designates surface grab sampling for phytoplankton and algal toxins. ....	<b>5</b>

## 1 Background

The Karuk Tribe is the second largest Tribe in California, with over 3,700 Tribal members currently enrolled and over 4,000 descendants. The Karuk Tribe is located along the middle Klamath River in northern California. Karuk Ancestral Territory covers over 90 miles of the main stem Klamath River and numerous tributaries. The Klamath River system is central to the culture of the Karuk People, as it is a vital component of our religion, traditional ceremonies, and subsistence activities. Degraded water quality and quantity has resulted in massive fish kills, increased occurrences of toxic algae, and outbreaks of fish diseases. Impaired water quality conditions also apply extreme limitations and burdens to our cultural activities.

The Karuk Tribe's Department of Natural Resources has been monitoring daily water quality conditions in the Klamath River since January of 2000 and tributaries to the Klamath River since 1998. The Karuk Tribe has been collaboratively involved in maintaining water quality stations along the Klamath River and its tributaries with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), the United States Geological Survey (USGS), the Bureau of Reclamation (BOR), the Yurok Tribe, Quartz Valley Indian Reservation, the Hoopa Tribe, Pulikla Tribe of Yurok People, Oregon State University, Stanford University, and Klamath River Renewal Corporation. The following tables summarize waters within the aboriginal territory, tribal uses and goals of these waters, and impairments to these uses and goals (Tables 1-2).

**Table 1** Atlas of Tribal Waters within Karuk Ancestral Territory

Atlas of Tribal Waters Within Ancestral Territory	
Total number of Klamath River miles	90
Total number of perennial stream miles	1,900
Total number of lake acres	442
Total number of wetland acres	UNKNOWN

**Table 2** Designated uses, tribal goals and parameters measured to analyze impairments to tribal uses and goals

<b>Making Assessment Decisions</b>	
<b>Designated Beneficial Uses and Tribal Goals</b>	<b>Parameter(s) to be Measured to Determine Support of Use of Goal</b>
Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species (RARE)	Temperature, DO, pH, Conductivity
Subsistence Fishing (FISH)	Temperature, DO, pH, Conductivity, Microcystin
Cold Freshwater Habitat (COLD)	Temperature, Turbidity
Cultural Contact Water (CUL-1)	Temperature, Phosphorus, Nitrogen, Microcystin
Cultural Non-Contact Water (CUL-2)	Temperature, Phosphorus, Nitrogen
Fish Consumption (FC)	Temperature, Phosphorus, Nitrogen
Water Contact Recreation (REC-1)	Temperature, Phosphorus, Nitrogen, Microcystin
Non-Contact Water Recreation (REC-2)	Temperature, Phosphorus, Nitrogen
Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development (SPWN)	Temperature, DO, pH, Conductivity, Turbidity

## **2 Program Purpose**

The overarching mission of the Karuk Tribe is to protect, promote, and preserve the cultural resources, natural resources, and ecological processes upon which the Karuk People depend. This mission requires the protection and improvement of the quality and quantity of water upstream and flowing through Karuk Ancestral Territory and Tribal trust lands.

The Karuk Tribe Water Quality Program (KTWQP) is currently evaluating the overall condition of water quality on Karuk Ancestral Territory, monitoring the extent to which water quality changes over time, and identifying impacts to beneficial uses. Data the KTWQP collects are indispensable in monitoring water quality conditions within the Klamath River Basin and providing valuable

information to ongoing water quality management processes. The information produced allows the Karuk Tribe to give valuable input in land management decisions and demonstrates the Tribe's commitment to sound resource management.

The Klamath River in California is listed as an impaired water body under the Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 303(d) list for temperature, nutrients, dissolved oxygen (DO), sediment, and microcystin (NCRWQCB, 2009). The mid-Klamath River can have elevated water temperatures, low dissolved oxygen levels, elevated sediment loads, loading from organic matter, and high levels of the cyanotoxin, microcystin. These detrimental conditions are caused by a variety of factors including the presence of Iron Gate and Copco Reservoirs, hydrological modification, agricultural use, timber harvesting, mining activities, cannabis cultivation, and fire suppression (NCRWQCB, 2009). Some of the beneficial uses that are important to the Karuk Tribe and impacted by poor water quality conditions are cultural use (CUL); subsistence fishing (FISH); cold freshwater habitat (COLD); recreation (REC-1 and 2); commercial and sport fishing (COMM); shellfish harvesting (SHELL); rare, threatened, or endangered species (RARE); migration of aquatic organisms (MIGR); spawning, reproduction, and/or early development (SPWN); and wildlife habitat (WILD) (NCRWQCB, 2007).

The data that the KTWQP collects are useful to Tribes, state and federal processes, and restoration efforts to assess current and past water quality conditions in the mid-Klamath River. For example, the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (NCRWQCB) developed and began implementing Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for the Klamath, Shasta, Scott, and Salmon Rivers. KTWQP data were used in the development of the technical portion of the TMDLs. Compliance points for tracking water quality improvements through TMDL implementation were placed at KTWQP long-term monitoring locations. On February 18, 2010, forty-eight entities signed on to the Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement (KHSA) to remove the four lower dams of the Klamath Hydroelectric Project (KHP). For this agreement, water quality monitoring occurred through 2023 to establish baseline water quality conditions before the dams are removed. Year-round monitoring for the removal itself began in 2023 and will continue through at least 2026.

The Karuk Tribe has established water quality standards for waters within Karuk Ancestral Territory. The details of these standards are outlined in the Karuk Tribe Water Quality Monitoring Plan (Karuk Tribe, 2002/2014/2018).


### **3 Collaboration and Coordination**

The KTWQP has found that the key to a successful water quality program in the Klamath region is to build collaborative relationships and coordinate with other entities in the basin. This adds credibility to our data sets, builds trust in our monitoring techniques, stretches water quality dollars by combining and coordinating monitoring efforts whenever feasible, and increases the Tribe's ability to conduct research and monitoring in the mid-Klamath. Our partners include: the Yurok Tribe, the Klamath Tribes, the Hoopa Tribe, Quartz Valley Indian Reservation, Pulikla Tribe of Yurok People, Humboldt State University, Oregon State University, UC Berkeley, Stanford University, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, EPA Region IX, EPA Region X, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board, State Water Resources Control Board, U.S. Forest Service (USFS), USGS, Humboldt County, Salmon River Restoration Council, Mid Klamath Watershed Council, Institute for Fisheries Resources, Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations, and Klamath Riverkeeper.

The KTWQP participates in many collaborative workgroups. We currently attend meetings, provide constructive feedback, help set research and monitoring priorities, work in technical subgroups, look for and provide support for others' grant proposals, and conduct monitoring and research. Some of the workgroups we participate in include the Klamath Blue Green Algae Workgroup, California Cyanobacteria Harmful Algae Bloom Workgroup, Klamath Basin Monitoring Program, Klamath Tribal Water Quality Consortium, and the Klamath Fish Health Assessment Team.

#### 4 Karuk Water Quality Program Design

The purpose of the Karuk Tribe's water quality monitoring program is to evaluate the quality of water flowing into, through, and out of Karuk Ancestral Territory and Tribal trust lands. We have combined the Karuk Tribe's goals with those of our collaborators listed above to establish a network of monitoring stations. We have established monitoring stations both within and above Karuk Ancestral Territory. These stations form a longitudinal profile of water quality conditions along the mid-Klamath River and associated major tributaries.



**Figure 1** Overview of the Karuk Tribe's water quality monitoring locations along the Klamath River in 2024. Red triangles indicate nutrient sampling locations and blue squares indicate sonde locations.

Nutrient grab samples and phytoplankton are collected both in the Klamath River and the major tributaries, whereas public health monitoring for algal toxins occurs just in the main stem (Table 3). During the winters of 2007-2024, turbidity monitoring occurred at the Salmon River and main stem sites. Iron Gate winter monitoring evaluates turnover in Iron Gate reservoir and influence on dissolved oxygen and pH. The Orleans (OR), Salmon River (SA), Seiad Valley (SV), Shasta River (SH), and Iron Gate (IG) continuous water quality monitoring stations are located at USGS gauging stations. This sampling is focused around the summer base flow (the growing season), which is generally from May-October. This is when water quality impairments stress beneficial uses. However, grab sampling continues throughout the year to help establish annual baseline load conditions and turbidity monitoring occurs in the winter when impairments are typically observed.

The frequency at which sampling occurs is dependent on resources and monitoring objectives. We focus on increasing a parameters collection frequency when the dynamics are changing at the greatest rate. For example, nutrient and phytoplankton dynamics are in flux more over the growing season than during the rest of the year. Therefore, grab samples may be collected approximately bimonthly (2x/month) during the growing season (May-October) and monthly the remainder of the year. Another example is our toxic algae and toxin sampling; it is aimed at being able to inform the public of health threats and is therefore collected at an increased frequency when threats are highest, in August and September (Kann and Corum, 2009).

**Table 3** Site codes and locations of Karuk sampling stations for nutrients, algal toxins, and sondes. Nutrient Suite indicates collecting nutrients, algal toxins, and phytoplankton. Sonde indicates real time monitoring, and public health designates surface grab sampling for phytoplankton and algal toxins.

Locations and Parameters Monitored in 2024						
Site ID	Latitude	Longitude	Nutrient Suite	Sonde	Winter Turbidity	Location
OR	N 41 18.336	W 123 31.895	X	X	X	Klamath River at Orleans
SA	N 41 22.617	W 123 28.633	X	X	X	Salmon River at USGS Gage
HC	N 41 43.780	W 123 25.775	X			Klamath River downstream of Happy Camp

Locations and Parameters Monitored in 2024						
Site ID	Latitude	Longitude	Nutrient Suite	Sonde	Winter Turbidity	Location
SV	N 41 50.561	W 123 13.132	X	X	X	Klamath River downstream of Seiad Valley
SC	N 41 46.100	W 123 01.567	X	X	X	Scott River near mouth
WA	N 41 50.242	W 122 51.895	X	X	X	Klamath River at Walker Bridge
SH	N 41 49.390	W 122 35.700	X	X	X	Shasta River at USGS Gage
IG	N 41 55.865	W 122 26.532	X	X	X	Klamath River below Iron Gate Hatchery Bridge

Further discussion of monitoring protocols and procedures can be found in the KTWQP’s Annual Monitoring Reports (formerly Water Quality Assessment Reports), the Mid-Klamath River Nutrient, Periphyton, Phytoplankton and Algal Toxin Sampling Analysis Plan, and the Karuk Tribe Quality Assurance Protocols and Procedures document (QAPP) (Karuk Tribe, 2000-2002, 2006-2022; Karuk Tribe, 2009; Karuk Tribe, 2018).

## 5 Data Interpretation and Management

The Karuk Tribe purchased Aquatic Informatics (AI) Time-Series software in 2015 to manage, QA/QC, and in conjunction with AI’s Webportal software disseminate our continuous data. Raw data and data that have under-gone further QA/QC are automatically archived separately. Metadata associated with each data type are also stored within the system and can be easily accessed when questions arise. Phytoplankton and algal toxin data are entered into Excel spreadsheets that are checked for accuracy by the Project Manager and backed up onto the KTWQP network and an external hard drive system that is maintained offsite.

Data are compiled using spreadsheets, R, and the AI Time-Series software. Graphical and statistical analyses are used to assess the current status and trends of monitored water bodies. In addition, comparisons between sites can also be made. Overall, water quality is evaluated using standards put forth in the Karuk Tribe’s Water Quality Control Plan and QAPP. Assessment

of data also includes the evaluation of field methodology and data quality. Data collected are then submitted electronically to EPA via the California Environmental Data Exchange (CEDEN), cross walked to EPA's Water Quality Exchange (WQX), and made publicly available. Data may be utilized by other Tribes, agencies, and entities to help direct water resource management actions.

## 6 2024 Water Quality Results


### 6.1 Main Stem Klamath

The sonde data presented in Figures 2-13 depict seasonal temperature, dissolved oxygen, and pH trends at main stem Klamath River monitoring sites.

#### 6.1.1 Main Stem Temperature


Monitoring locations at Seiad Valley (SV) and Orleans (OR) have similar thermographs when comparing daily averages in 2024 (Figure 2). The site below Iron Gate dam (IG), however, is elevated in spring and depressed in July and August comparatively (Figure 2). These trends are reinforced by comparing the aggregated 15-year aggregated daily averages 2009-2023 (Figure 3). Water released from the Iron Gate reservoir has a moderating effect on temperature, reducing summer highs and raising winter lows as compared to historic conditions and upstream unimpounded tributary contributions. With the dams removed the temperature at IG has been notably reduced in late summer.

### Klamath River Daily Average Temperature, 2024



**Figure 2** Daily average water temperatures in 2024 at three main stem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), Seiad Valley (SV), and Orleans (OR)

## Klamath River 15 Year Average Daily Temperature




**Figure 3** Aggregated daily average water temperatures from 2010-2024 at main stem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), Seiad Valley (SV), and Orleans (OR)

### 6.1.2 Main Stem Dissolved Oxygen


Typically, Iron Gate dam impaired dissolved oxygen levels, dropping while downstream sites rose (Figure 8). Instead, all three sites remained similar throughout August (Figures 4-7). This timing overlaps with fall-run salmonid migration. Several extreme drops in dissolved oxygen occurred at Iron Gate and Seiad Valley in February and September due to dam removal operations (the onset of drawdown and coffer dam removal, respectively) (Figures 4, 6, and 7). Dissolved oxygen levels at the three sites converge during the summer when water temperatures are highest (Figures 3 and 8). The most impaired site according to the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (NCRWQCB) is Iron Gate, followed by Seiad Valley and then Orleans (Figures 5-7). This demonstrates the dam's negative influence on dissolved oxygen, which is mitigated by distance and other inputs downstream. While Orleans and Seiad Valley were almost always above the NCRWQCB Basin Plan Objective, Iron Gate was below for much of September-April (Figures 5-7). However, the summer dissolved oxygen levels were improved compared to previous years.

### Klamath River Daily Average Dissolved Oxygen, 2024




**Figure 4** Daily average dissolved oxygen levels in 2024 at mainstem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), Seiad Valley (SV), and Orleans (OR)

### Klamath River at Orleans Dissolved Oxygen Saturation, WY 2024




**Figure 5** Dissolved oxygen saturation readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in 2024 at the Klamath River at Orleans (OR) and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan Klamath River site-specific dissolved oxygen water quality objective: > 90% saturation year-round from the Scott River confluence to Hoopa

### Klamath River at Seiad Valley Dissolved Oxygen Saturation, WY 2024




**Figure 6** Dissolved oxygen saturation readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in 2024 at the Klamath River at Seiad Valley (SV) and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan Klamath River site-specific dissolved oxygen water quality objective: > 90% saturation year-round from the Scott River confluence to Hoopa

### Klamath River at Iron Gate Dissolved Oxygen Saturation, WY 2024



**Figure 7** Dissolved oxygen saturation readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in 2024 at the Klamath River below Iron Gate dam (IG) and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan Klamath River site-specific dissolved oxygen water quality objective: > 90% saturation Oct 1 – March 30 and > 85% saturation April 1 – Sept 30 from the OR/CA state line to the mouth of the Scott River

## Klamath River 15 Year Average Daily Dissolved Oxygen




**Figure 8** Aggregated daily average dissolved oxygen levels from 2010-2024 at main stem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), Seiad Valley (SV), and Orleans (OR)

### 6.1.3 Main Stem pH


pH levels were more consistent between sites this year, with Iron Gate and Seiad Valley both showing some exceedances. Unlike previous years, Seiad Valley had the most basic pH this year (Figures 9-12). Traditionally, rapidly increasing productivity in reservoirs raised the pH at Iron Gate later in the summer after the curtain was raised (Figure 13). This year all three sites showed a similar pattern, with Iron Gate actually the lowest of the sites (Figure 9). This year changes in pH were largely related to dam removal, with sediment releases creating turbidity spikes which inhibited productivity and thereby lowered pH. The most extreme example was in September with coffer dam removal (Figures 9-12). At Seiad Valley, these drops may have actually prevented more exceedances of the NCWQCB Basin Plan Objective. Improvements in pH in late summer months are key for fish migration, and reflect a serious shift in metabolism.

### Klamath River Daily Average pH, 2024




**Figure 9** Daily average pH levels in 2024 at main stem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), Seiad Valley (SV), and Orleans (OR)

### Klamath River at Orleans pH, WY 2024




**Figure 10** pH readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes water year 2024 at the Klamath River at Orleans (OR) and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan objective for the Klamath River:  $7 < x < 8.5$

### Klamath River at Seiad Valley pH, WY 2024




**Figure 11** pH readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in water year 2024 at the Klamath River at Seiad Valley (SV) and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan objective for the Klamath River:  $7 < x < 8.5$

### Klamath River at Iron Gate pH, WY 2024



**Figure 12** pH readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in water year 2024 at the Klamath River below Iron Gate dam (IG) and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan objective for the Klamath River:  $7 < x < 8.5$

## Klamath River 15 Year Average Daily pH



**Figure 13** Aggregated daily average pH levels from 2010-2024 at main stem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), Seiad Valley (SV), and Orleans (OR)


### 6.2 Tributaries

The Karuk Tribe Water Quality Program has monitored three major Klamath tributaries just upstream from their confluences with the Klamath since 2006: the Shasta, Scott, and Salmon Rivers. Each of the tributaries has similar seasonal water quality trends.

#### 6.2.1 Tributary Temperature


Typically, temperatures in spring are stratified among the tributaries due to variable input: the Shasta River is warmer with lower annual variability due to groundwater influences which moderate temperature, the Scott River is intermediate with a mix of groundwater and snowmelt, and the Salmon River is the coldest with a snowmelt-dominated system. However, the significant snowpack this winter contributed more cold water to the Scott River, resulting in greater similarity to the Salmon River thermograph. Over time, temperatures converged as solar radiation becomes the dominant driver (Figure 14). Temperatures in the three tributaries this year were within average levels from past years, although August temperatures in particular were lower (Figures 16-17). Shasta River temperatures were more responsive to air temperature due to low flows with less thermal mass (Figures 15).

### Shasta, Scott, and Salmon Rivers Daily Average Temperature, 2024



**Figure 14** Daily average water temperature in 2024 at Shasta (SH), Scott (SC), and Salmon (SA) Rivers

### Shasta River Daily Average Temperature, 2018-2024



**Figure 15** Daily average water temperatures from 2018-2024 at the Shasta River

### Scott River Daily Average Temperature, 2018-2024




Figure 16 Daily average water temperatures from 2018-2024 at the Scott River

### Salmon River Daily Average Temperature, 2018-2024





Figure 17 Daily average water temperatures from 2018-2024 for the Salmon River

#### 6.2.2 Tributary Dissolved Oxygen

The relationship between dissolved oxygen and temperature is represented by the differing spring dissolved oxygen levels, with the Salmon River having the highest levels (and coldest temperature) and the Shasta River having the lowest levels (and warmest temperatures) (Figure


18). As with temperature, dissolved oxygen in the tributaries converge in late summer. Although most months were average compared to past years, August had a notable boost in dissolved oxygen. (Figures 18, 21, and 23). The NCRWQCB Basin Plan establishes water quality objectives for each tributary based on instantaneous readings. The Shasta River was regularly impaired April-October (< 7 mg/L); the Scott River was slightly impaired June-October (< 7 mg/L); and the Salmon River had the least impairment, restricted to June-September (< 9 mg/L) (Figures 20, 22, and 24). Accordingly, these tributaries are impaired at a time of critical importance for salmon migration.

### Shasta, Scott, and Salmon Rivers Daily Average Dissolved Oxygen, 2024




**Figure 18** Daily average dissolved oxygen levels in 2024 at Shasta (SH), Scott (SC), and Salmon (SA) Rivers

## Shasta River Daily Average Dissolved Oxygen, 2018-2024




**Figure 19** Daily average dissolved oxygen levels from 2018-2024 at the Shasta River

## Shasta River Dissolved Oxygen, WY 2024




**Figure 20** Dissolved oxygen readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in 2024 at the Shasta River and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan site-specific water quality objective for the Shasta River, > 7 mg/L

### Scott River Daily Average Dissolved Oxygen, 2018-2024




**Figure 21** Daily average dissolved oxygen levels from 2018-2024 at the Scott River

### Scott River Dissolved Oxygen, WY 2024




**Figure 22** Dissolved oxygen readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in 2024 at the Scott River and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan site-specific water quality objective for the Scott River, > 7 mg/L

## Salmon River Daily Average Dissolved Oxygen, 2018-2024



**Figure 23** Daily average dissolved oxygen levels from 2018-2024 at the Salmon River

## Salmon River Dissolved Oxygen, WY 2024




**Figure 24** Dissolved oxygen readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in water year 2024 at the Salmon River and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan site-specific water quality objective for the Salmon River, > 9 mg/L

### 6.2.3 Tributary pH


Daily average pH readings are stratified among tributaries, with Shasta River as the most basic due to groundwater input hardness and heightened productivity deriving from land use and Salmon River as the least (Figure 25). The Shasta River trend is generally stable throughout the year, while the Scott and Salmon Rivers' pH climbs from spring to fall (Figures 26, 28, and 30). Typically, the Scott and Salmon Rivers exhibit sudden drops in pH due to sudden releases of snowmelt and subsequent scour. This year, hydrology and pH were much more stable. Comparison with earlier years, pH was higher than usual in the Shasta and Scott Rivers and lower in the Salmon River (Figures 26, 28, and 20). All three tributaries exceeded the NCRWQCB Basin Plan site-specific water quality objectives for pH in 2024. Magnitudes of exceedance matched their stratified pH levels, with the Shasta River most impaired in terms of pH and the Salmon River least. Notably, exceedances are confined to the fall season at the Salmon River; are regularly exceeded by diurnal highs at the Scott River; and are the dominant state in the Shasta River except for nocturnal lows (Figures 27, 29, and 31).

### Shasta, Scott, and Salmon Rivers Daily Average pH, 2024




**Figure 25** Daily average pH levels in 2024 at Shasta (SH), Scott (SC), and Salmon (SA) Rivers

### Shasta River Daily Average pH, 2018-2024




**Figure 26** Daily average pH levels from 2018-2024 at the Shasta River

### Shasta River pH, WY 2024




**Figure 27** pH readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in 2024 at the Shasta River and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan site-specific water quality objective for the Shasta River,  $7 < x < 8.5$

### Scott River Daily Average pH, 2018-2024




**Figure 28** Daily average pH levels from 2018-2024 at the Scott River

### Scott River pH, WY 2024




**Figure 29** pH readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in 2024 at the Scott River and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan site-specific water quality objective for the Scott River,  $7 < x < 8.5$

### Salmon River Daily Average pH, 2018-2024



**Figure 30** Daily average pH from 2018-2024 at the Salmon River


### Salmon River pH, WY 2024



**Figure 31** pH readings recorded instantaneously every 15 minutes in water year 2024 at the Salmon River and the NCRWQCB Basin Plan site-specific water quality objective for the Salmon River,  $7 < x < 8.5$

### 6.2.4 Salmon River Turbidity

Turbidity data collected at the Salmon River showed multiple spikes in January, aligning with winter storms as in past years, and several smaller events later in the spring (Figure 32).




**Figure 32** Daily average turbidity from 2020-2024 at the Salmon River


### 6.3 Nutrients

Nutrient samples were collected by the Karuk Tribe Water Quality Program from both the main stem Klamath and tributaries. Nutrient concentrations are largely driven by discharge and differing land use, with increases in both total phosphorus and, with larger magnitude, total nitrogen during lower-flow summer and fall months. However, this year was dominated by the dynamics of dam removal, which resulted in TN and TP concentrations of over 10 mg/L (Figures 35 and 38). Historical records show spikes in summer months as low flows and precipitation result in increased concentrations (Figures 34, 35, 37, and 38). However in this year's case, the trend was driven by dam removal operations throughout the year, creating an inverse pattern with winter highs. These trends and nutrient concentrations in general are muted in the Scott and Salmon Rivers and elevated in the Shasta River with respect to main stem sites (Figures 33 and 36). Tributaries remained unaffected by dam removal, showing their typical seasonal patterns (Figures 33, 34, 36, 37).

In main stem sites, there is a spatial trend of nutrient concentrations decreasing longitudinally in the downriver direction as they are processed metabolically and diluted by tributaries (Figures 35 and 38). Elevated nutrients also impact dissolved oxygen and pH by boosting productivity, and dam removal sediment was doubtless deposited on the riverbed during drawdown creating a future nutrient source. While this year's data are exceptional, more monitoring is needed to determine effects of dam removal.




**Figure 33** Total phosphorus measurements in 2024 at all monitored sites




**Figure 34** Total phosphorus measurements from 2007-2024 at the Salmon (SA), Scott (SC), and Shasta (SH) Rivers

### Klamath River Total Phosphorus, 2007-2024




**Figure 35** Total phosphorus measurements from 2007-2024 at main stem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), at Walker Bridge (WA), at Seiad Valley (SV), at Happy Camp (HC), and at Orleans (OR)

### Total Nitrogen, 2024




**Figure 36** Total nitrogen measurements in 2024 at all monitored sites

### Shasta, Scott, and Salmon Rivers Total Nitrogen, 2007-2024



**Figure 37** Total nitrogen measurements from 2007-2024 at the Salmon (SA), Scott (SC), and Shasta (SH) Rivers

### Klamath River Total Nitrogen, 2007-2024



**Figure 38** Total nitrogen measurements from 2007-2024 at main stem Klamath River sites: below Iron Gate dam (IG), at Walker Bridge (WA), at Seiad Valley (SV), at Happy Camp (HC), and at Orleans (OR)

## 7 References

- Karuk Tribe Department of Natural Resources (Karuk Tribe). 2002/2014. Water Quality Control Plan. Orleans, CA. 36 pg.
- Karuk Tribe Department of Natural Resources (Karuk Tribe). 2009. Mid-Klamath River Nutrient, Periphyton, Phytoplankton and Algal Toxin Sampling Analysis Plan (SAP). Orleans, CA. 52 pg. Accessed online 2/24/22 at: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/tmdl/records/region\\_1/2009/ref2770.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/tmdl/records/region_1/2009/ref2770.pdf)
- Karuk Tribe Department of Natural Resources (Karuk Tribe). 2018 Quality Assurance Project Plan for Water Quality Sampling and Analysis. Orleans, CA. 119 pg. Accessed online 2/24/22 at: <https://www.karuk.us/index.php/departments/natural-resources/watersheds/somes-bar-water-quality>
- Karuk Tribe Department of Natural Resources (Karuk Tribe). 2000-2002, 2006-2021. Annual Water Quality Reports. Orleans, CA. Accessed online 2/24/22 at: <https://www.karuk.us/index.php/departments/natural-resources/watersheds/somes-bar-water-quality>
- Kann, J. and S. Corum. 2009. Toxigenic *Microcystis aeruginosa* bloom dynamics and cell density/chlorophyll a relationships with microcystin toxin in the Klamath River, 2005-2008. Prepared for Karuk Tribe Department of Natural Resources, Orleans, CA. 46 pg.
- North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (NCRWQCB). 2006. CWA Section 303(d) List of Water Quality Limited Segments Requiring TMDLs. Santa Rosa, CA. Accessed online 2/24/22 at: [http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/water\\_issues/programs/tmdl/docs/303dlists2006/epa/r1\\_06\\_303d\\_reqtmdls.pdf](http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/tmdl/docs/303dlists2006/epa/r1_06_303d_reqtmdls.pdf)
- North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (NCRWQCB). 2007. Water quality control plan for the North Coast Region. Santa Rosa, CA. Accessed online 2/24/22 at: [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/water\\_issues/programs/basin\\_plan/basin\\_plan\\_documents/](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/water_issues/programs/basin_plan/basin_plan_documents/)
- North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (NCRWQCB). 2009. Staff report for the Klamath River Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) addressing temperature, dissolved oxygen, nutrient, and microcystin impairments in California, the Klamath River site specific dissolved oxygen objective, and the Klamath River and Lost River implementation plans: December 2009 Public Draft Review Documents. Santa Rosa, CA. Accessed online 2/24/22 at: [http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/water\\_issues/programs/tmdls/klamath\\_river/](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/water_issues/programs/tmdls/klamath_river/)